NEWS Approved For Release 2001/03/02 : CIA-RDP70-

m. 228,174 S. 250,585

Front Edit Page Page

Edit Other Page Page (6)

Date:

STATINT

## CONQUEST

## Reds Map Plan To Win Latins

## By ROBERT MORRIS

DEFECTORS from the Soviet side to our side in the world conflict should be an important force. For many years, with the Naval Intelligence and with State and U.S.

Senate committees, I had the responsibility of inducing wavering communists and people in the twilight of their communist careers to come over to the side of the United States. I know what a magnificent contribution defectors have made to our understand-



MORRIS

ing of the communist conspiracy. They made valiant witnesses, and the whole free world wer better for their testimony.

In recent years, however, the people running our executive policy have not shown the high resolve necessary to induce defections. Many of us were shocked in 1958 when we learned that a Danish international civil servant, Povl Bang-Jensen, had been approached at the U.N. by a cluster of potential Soviet defectors who asked that he aid them to asylum in the United States. The approach had been made at the time of the crushing of the Hungarian uprising by Soviet tanks in 1956.

These defectors told Bang-Jensen that the 38th floor of the U.N. (the top administrative offices) was actually under Soviet control and that the Soviet Secret Police had penetrated our Foreign Intelligence. This information was dispatched to the then head of our CIA, who, instead of zealously moving to acquire the vital secrets available from this important source, let Bang-Jensen and the defectors cool their heels for seven anguished months, after which they were no longer available.

I READ in the Congressional Record of Sept. 24 another startling defector story. It comes through an interview between the competent foreign editor of the Washington Star, Constantine Brown, and a former high official of the communist Czechoslavak government. The defector told Brown much about communist future plans which we should know.

The defector left communism because he could no longer stand the intrigue involved. However, since his defection he had come to realize he had joined "the losing side" because the leaders of the West, mostly in the United States and Britain, refused to believe that Khrushchev is planning to conquer the world.

He told of a communist plan, blueprinted and sealed during Castro's 37-day visit this summer to the U.S.S.R., that called for the success of what is called in the Kremlin—the USRLA—the United Socialist Republics of Latin America. By 1968 this Soviet unit is to include Cuba, Venezuela, Colombia, Brazil, British Guiana, Mexico, Chile and Hispaniola. (This was before the overthrow of Juan Bosch.)

CASTRO, according to the defector, is to be the leading force. But let Constantine tell the rest:

"According to my informant, Khrushchev has instructed Castro to lay the ground-work without haste. It is essential, he is reported to have said, that the chances of reelection of the present Washington administration, sympathetic to socialism, and dedicated to a relaxation of tensions, should not be endangered. It is also important that the British Labor party, headed by Harold Wilson—described by Khrushchev as a man of great intelligence and insight toward world trends—should replace the wobbly, uncertain and tired Conservatives.

"Hence, there must be no hasty or rash moves by Fidel and his associates through Latin America. Castro must accept with good grace any indications for reconciliation with the United States—even at the price of some concessions regarding the confiscation of American properties,

"The pot will be kept simmering until the American elections. After November, the work of creating a USRLA will be started in earnest."

The testimony of this defector, properly checked, should be brought to the attention of the world, not hidden in the Congressional Record. Our official policy is working to bring about a merger with this country and the nations of the world under the United Nations. Under this, we would be a minority; but if the Latin-American bloc is to be put into the Soviet side of the aisle, we will be almost a solitary voice in the consensus.